

**\$12.75**Is Linger's Low Price for This  
**GUARANTEED BED**

All our beds are excellent in design—they add to the looks of the home. More than that, they outlast the general run of beds, because constructed of best materials and put together to stay. The finish of this bed at \$12.75 is covered by the same guarantee we give on a bed at \$50. Come in and look over its unusual good points.

We'll make over your old mattress like new at small cost.

**H. A. Linger, Jr.**

933 G Street N. W.

We give Herald \$25,000 contest votes.

**ALFORD'S  
TYPEWRITTEN LETTERS**

Bring back replies because each order receives the same personal attention, irrespective of size. You will find our letters free from dark edges, broken type, typographical errors, etc.

You can safely trust important form letters to us, being assured that they will be carefully edited and delivered on time.

2,000 LETTERS, \$4.00

**ALFORD LETTER COMPANY**

New Address:

607 15th Street N. W.

Second Floor Over Ford &amp; Graham Dairy Lunch, Opposite U. S. Treasury

Phone Main 7506.

Established 1894.

**Elphonzo Youngs**

Company

2315-2317 18th St.

Phone Columbia 44.

**Groceries**

Wholesale and Retail.

**We Have Moved to Our****18th Street Store****Deliveries Everywhere**

We give Herald \$25,000 contest votes.

**Preliminary Patent Searches****and Mechanical Reports**

The Worth While Kind with

Five or more copies of competing

patents, \$5. and up; worth \$50.

Having hundreds often and some-

times thousands.

A separate service, not connected

with patent law. Try an Engineer

search; they are different.

**ENGINEER SEARCHING CO.**

Citizens' Bank Building, 4th Floor.

We give Herald \$25,000 contest votes.

**GEO. D. SINCLAIR**

IMPORTING TAILOR

MAKER OF

**RIDING BREECHES**

—AND—

**DRIVING SUITS**

615 Penn. Ave. N. W.

METROPOLITAN HOTEL BLDG.

We give Herald \$25,000 contest votes.

**Particular People Patronize the****COLUMBIA****LAUNDRY**

Main Office and Works

623 G Street N. W.

We give Herald \$25,000 contest votes.

For Satisfaction Just Try

**Perfection \$1 qt.****Whiskey, \$1 qt.**

A rich, mellow, smooth

Whiskey that "hits the spot" as

a beverage, and is an ideal medi-

cinal tonic.

**Sydney Guggenheim,**

1632 14th St. N. W., North 813

We Give Votes in Herald's \$25,000 Contest.

Call up Main 1419 for All Kinds

of Printing Supplies.

**J. W. JORDAN**

623 D Street N. W.

We Give Votes in The Herald's \$25,000 Contest.

**SCHOOL SUPPLIES**

Largest stock ever carried. Also Laces, Dry Goods, Hosiery and Embroideries.

MRS. J. A. MOUDY,

3432 Georgia Ave. N. W.

We Give Herald \$25,000 contest votes.

Largest Morning Circulation.

**HOUSE VOTES TO VIOLATE PLEDGE****OF U. S. TO REDEEM DEBT**

ground that the action was too far-reaching importance to be decided on such a short shift.

Aided With Johnson.

Speaker Champ Clark, however, supposedly because of his warm personal friendship for Chairman Johnson, let it become known through his presence upon the floor and his anxiety to be counted on one of the preliminary votes, that he sided with Johnson. Knowing this, several members at least were constrained to the belief that Johnson's point of view was that of the "organization" of the party, at least that is the impression that one member of the party was at particular pains to convey in soliciting votes to sustain the chair.

The actual dollars and cents effect of yesterday's action so far as this session is concerned will be null. The Senate, when the District bill reaches that body, will reinstate the half and half feature of the item and the House conferees when the measure goes to conference, will not fight to have the action of yesterday upheld in the conference report. While there will undoubtedly be a spirited fight in the House over the conference report, because of this and other features in which the House conferees will yield to the Senate bill, there is little danger that the House will refuse to adopt the conference report.

The Moral Effect.

The moral effect of yesterday's action, however, hardly can be overestimated. When taken in conjunction with the impending attempt to wipe out the present form of government in the District and to substitute therefor what some are pleased to term "local self-government," by sustaining the decision of the chair yesterday the House clearly indicated that it is ready and anxious to vote upon any measure which bears the outward semblance of voting looking to a change of government here.

Moreover, the House has placed itself on record as believing with Representative Johnson that some \$25,000,000—the estimate placed upon the account by Representative Burleson, chairman of the sub-com-

mittee of the appropriation committee

which frames up the District ap-

propriation bill—have been, or will be,

if the present construction of the law

continues illegally paid out of the Treas-

ury to meet one-half of the interest and

sinking fund payments on the funded

debt of the District.

In view of this belief and the action

of the House the next move Mr. John-

son may be expected to make will be

with a view to requiring that these

moneys be covered back into the Treas-

ury by the District in other words, that

from the District revenues from now on

amounts aggregating this sum shall be

paid back to the United States govern-

ment.

In the few hours given over to the de-

bate, no time was given those who op-

posed the ruling to review the conditions

which led up to the enactment of the

organic act, and the assumption that the

United States of its one-half share of the

indebtedness which the Board of Public

Works had incurred, partially with the

authorization of Congress, which created it,

and partially without authority.

One-Half for United States.

Representative Saunders, however, did

show clearly through citations from the

record of debate when this part of the

act was under consideration that the

framers of the act clearly intended the

United States to bear one-half of the

burden and that those who opposed this

section of the act did so because they

understood the meaning and did not be-

lieve the United States should bear one-

half of the burden of the debt. Citations

from Mr. Saunders' remarks in another

column clearly establish this and refute

the contention of Representative Johnson

and Chairman Roddenberry that the

framers of the act intended that the

United States should stand only as

guarantor, and should bear no actual or

ultimate part of the burden.

This proof naturally was ignored by

Chairman Roddenberry in framing his

ruling, inasmuch as the decision was

written before these citations were of-

fered in debate.

SAUNDERS SHOWS CLEARLY

INTENT OF DISTRICT DEBT ACT

"For interest and sinking fund on the

funded debt, \$75,000."

A point of order against this para-

graph in the District appropriation bill,

made by Chairman Johnson yesterday,

presenting a debate and called forth

an opinion which indicated clearly what

the temper of the House is as far as the

District of Columbia and the half and

half system is concerned.

Mr. Johnson based his point of order

on the contention that no existing law

justifies the payment by the Federal gov-

ernment of one-half of the interest and

sinking fund for the funded debt of

the District. Mr. Johnson's point of

order was sustained by Chairman Rod-

denberry, presiding over the committee

of the whole House in consideration of

the bill, and Mr. Roddenberry's ruling

on an appeal from the chair, was sus-

tained by a rising vote of 95 to 25, after

Representative Burleson, chairman of the

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

subcommittee on District appropriations,

**HOUSE VOTES TO VIOLATE PLEDGE****OF U. S. TO REDEEM DEBT**

ground that the action was too far-reaching importance to be decided on such a short shift.

Aided With Johnson.

Speaker Champ Clark, however, supposedly because of his warm personal friendship for Chairman Johnson, let it become known through his presence upon the floor and his anxiety to be counted on one of the preliminary votes, that he sided with Johnson. Knowing this, several members at least were constrained to the belief that Johnson's point of view was that of the "organization" of the party, at least that is the impression that one member of the party was at particular pains to convey in soliciting votes to sustain the chair.

The actual dollars and cents effect of yesterday's action so far as this session is concerned will be null. The Senate, when the District bill reaches that body, will reinstate the half and half feature of the item and the House conferees when the measure goes to conference, will not fight to have the action of yesterday upheld in the conference report. While there will undoubtedly be a spirited fight in the House over the conference report, because of this and other features in which the House conferees will yield to the Senate bill, there is little danger that the House will refuse to adopt the conference report.

The Moral Effect.

The moral effect of yesterday's action, however, hardly can be overestimated. When taken in conjunction with the impending attempt to wipe out the present form of government in the District and to substitute therefor what some are pleased to term "local self-government," by sustaining the decision of the chair yesterday the House clearly indicated that it is ready and anxious to vote upon any measure which bears the outward semblance of voting looking to a change of government here.

Moreover, the House has placed itself on record as believing with Representative Johnson that some \$25,000,000—the estimate placed upon the account by Representative Burleson, chairman of the sub-com-

mittee of the appropriation committee

which frames up the District ap-

propriation bill—have been, or will be,

if the present construction of the law

continues illegally paid out of the Treas-

ury to meet one-half of the interest and

sinking fund payments on the funded

debt of the District.

In view of this belief and the action

of the House the next move Mr. John-

son may be expected to make will be</